Laci Jolet

From:

Bradley Leger

Sent:

Tuesday, February 25, 2014 11:25 AM

To:

Laci Jolet

Subject:

FW: Smith v Norcold et al - hearing 10.30.13

Importance:

High

Save this email to CORR in smith

LEGER ADKINS, LLP

attorneys and counselors at law

Bradley L. Leger

Attorney at Law

the believue tower 2323 s. shepherd dr., suite 915 houston, texas 77019

713.574.5558 - main 713.574.6671 - direct 713.574.1894 - fax 866.719.0427 - toll free

bio: www.linkedin.com/in/bradleyleger

web: www.legeradkins.com

TEXAS SUPER LAWYER - 2011, 2012, 2013

LAMOR IN ACCESSION OF

CONFIDENTIALITY MOTICE. This commentation and interests are companied and interest only for our by entropied collective which the commentation and interest from the control of the contro

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE. To ensure derephands and implicant and improved by U.S. Treasury Regulations are informative appearance aspearance and tox are incommended in this control of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions parameter U.S. Inw. and using the linear information of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions parameter U.S. Inw. and using the linear information of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions parameter U.S. Inw. and using the linear information of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions persions of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions and seek advisor of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions and seek advisor of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions and seek advisor of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions and the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persions are advisor of the Lacrose of (1) avoiding persi

From: Bradley Leger

Sent: Wednesday, October 30, 2013 11:48 AM **To:** 'Serafin, Janet M.'; Schalk, Michael J. R.

Cc: Larry Davidson (Idavidson@harveykruse.com); 'Barry Goodman'; Larry Maitland; Pierce Adkins; Bradley Leger

Subject: RE: Smith v Norcold et al - hearing 10.30.13

Importance: High

Janet/Michael:

Per the Court's instructions today, please provide me with deposition dates for Chris Bloom.

Also, please respond to Plaintiffs' First Request for Production – as responses are over 2 weeks late. In the future, please respond to discovery on the date discovery is due to avoid further complications.

Further, per the conference today with the Court, we have agreed to take the deposition of the previously noticed corporate representative in Pittsburgh, PA. However, in accordance with the Court's instructions, we expect the witness to be present and have knowledge of the matters in the notice.

PLAINTIFF'S

EXHIBIT

As a side note, I'm hopeful that future discovery issues will be resolved without seeking Court Intervention. In that spirit, I welcome you to call me anytime to discuss any issue in this case.

Thank you.

LEGER ADKINS, LLP

| aftorneys and counselors at low

Bradley L. Leger

Attorney at Law

the believue tower 2323 s. shepherd dr., suite 915 houston, texas 77019

713.574.5558 - main 713.574.6671 - direct 713.574.1894 - fax 866.719.0427 - toll free

bio: www.linkedin.com/in/bradleyieger web: www.legeradkins.com

TEXAS SUPER LAWYER - 2011, 2012, 2013

that it stocked by the delice of a value

CONFIDENTIALLY NOTICE: This componential and intermediate on posterior and is expected on a confidence of the component of the component of the confidence o

BRS CIRCULAR 200 DISCLOSURY: To menter consistency who implements required by Thomacy Englishmen and other years of thomacy approach in the perfect of the p

From: Serafin, Janet M. [mailto:janet.serafin@klgates.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 2:52 PM

To: Bradley Leger

Cc: Larry Davidson (Idavidson@harveykruse.com); 'Barry Goodman'; Larry Maitland; Pierce Adkins; Schalk, Michael J. R.

Subject: RE: Smith v Norcold et al

Mr. Leger:

It appears that my email below with the attachment is too large to be accepted by your email system. I will attempt to reduce the file size of the attachment so that it will go through, perhaps by breaking it in to multiple pieces. In the meantime, please see my message below.

Best, Janet

From: Serafin, Janet M.

Sent: Tuesday, October 29, 2013 3:46 PM

To: 'Bradley Leger'

Cc: Larry Davidson (Idavidson@harveykruse.com); 'Barry Goodman'; Larry Maitland; Pierce Adkins; Schalk, Michael J. R.

Subject: RE: Smith v Norcold et al

Mr. Leger:

I am writing in response to your e-mail below of October 24, 2013.

<u>Dismissal of Norcold, Inc.</u>: Norcold, Inc. is not a proper party to this case and we request that you voluntarily dismiss it. As we noted in our prior e-mail, Norcold was a wholly owned subsidiary of Alcoa Inc. and Alcoa Energy Services, Inc. f/k/a Norcold, Inc. (collectively "Alcoa") whose assets were sold as of 1997 and, as a result, the new Norcold is no longer affiliated with Alcoa. As part of the sale, Alcoa retained certain liabilities, including defending the claims brought by the Plaintiffs in the above-referenced matter.

Recognizing your concern that an e-mail from us "stating Norcold, Inc. didn't do it is insufficient," we have attached the following document that establishes Norcold, Inc. is not a proper party to this case: Agreement of Purchase and Sale by and Among Norcold, Inc., Aluminum Company of America, et al. dated February 6, 1997 (the "Agreement"). Section 1.01(d) of the Agreement provides that the new Norcold, Inc. will not assume the "Excluded Liabilities," as defined therein, which includes the claims brought by Plaintiffs in the above-referenced matter. We are also willing to provide you, if necessary, with declarations from authorized representatives of Alcoa and the new Norcold, Inc. stating under penalty of perjury that: (i) Norcold, Inc. did not assume the liability underlying the claims in the this case, (ii) Alcoa assuming the liability underlying the claims in this case, and (iii) Norcold, Inc. is not a proper party. If you would like to discuss this issue in further detail, please let me know.

<u>Deposition of Chris Bloom</u>. As to your request to depose Chris Bloom as a fact witness, we will not produce him for deposition at this time because his deposition is barred by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26. Mr. Bloom has served as a consulting/testifying expert for Alcoa in a variety of matters. To the extent that Mr. Bloom has knowledge of any facts in relation to this or other Alcoa matters, it is solely limited to facts obtained in his role as a consulting expert for Alcoa. Therefore, his deposition is barred by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(D)(ii). If Alcoa determines that Mr. Bloom or any other expert will be designated as a testifying expert, that expert will be made available for deposition in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(A) at the appropriate time.

30(b)(6) Deposition of Alcoa: We received your notice of 30(b)(6) deposition directed to Alcoa and will be providing you with our responses and objections shortly. As an initial matter, consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the deposition of a corporation should be taken at the corporation's principal place of business. Alcoa's principal place of business is located in Pittsburgh, PA. You are free to use our offices here in Pittsburgh to have the deposition, but Alcoa will not produce its corporate representatives in Troy, Michigan pursuant to your 30(b)(6) deposition notice at this time.

<u>Tomorrow's Hearing</u>: We note that the Court's order regarding tomorrow's hearing requires you to initiate the call with all parties. Do you plan to set up a call-in number? I am out of the office tomorrow and my partner, Mike Schalk, who I have copied on this email, will participate in the hearing in my absence.

Best, Janet

From: Bradley Leger [mailto:bleger@legeradkins.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 24, 2013 1:02 PM

To: Serafin, Janet M.

Cc; Larry Davidson (Idavidson@harveykruse.com); 'Barry Goodman'; Larry Maitland; Pierce Adkins; Bradley Leger

Subject: RE: Smith v Norcold et al

Importance: High

Ms. Serafin:

Thank you for the email below.

As you know, Larry Davidson has filed papers with the Court as Norcold, Inc.'s attorney (see attached). Also, Norcold, Inc. has been properly served in this matter. As such, under the FRCP, Norcold, Inc. is required to file an answer. Frankly, your email stating Norcold, Inc. didn't do it is insufficient. We fully expect Mr. Davidson, as Norcold, Inc.'s attorney, to file an answer and present his client's "employees" for deposition.

As for Chris Bloom, we would like to depose him as a fact witness only – at this time. Once again, please provide dates for his deposition.

As for Richard O. Lewis, P.E., we noticed his deposition via Larry Davidson as a courtesy to counsel. We understand from your email that you would like us to contact him directly and/or subpoena his deposition. We will proceed accordingly.

As for the 30b6 deposition, since you made no objection, I fully expect a corporate representative with knowledge of the previously produced matters to be present at his/her previously noticed deposition (see attached). We have already made arrangements for this deposition.

If you would like to discuss, please feel free to give me a call.

Thanks,

LEGER ADKINS, LLP

| attorneys and counselors at law

Bradley L. Leger

Attorney at Law

the believue tower 2323 s. shepherd dr., suite 915 houston, texas 77019

713.574.5558 - main 713.574.6671 - direct 713.574.1894 - fax 866.719.0427 - toll free

bio: www.linkedin.com/in/bradleyleger web: www.legeradkins.com

TEXAS SUPER LAWYER - 2011, 2012, 2013

Sough Court Aways valent

COMPRENTIALITY MOTION THE same common and anomal sets on tradeposition, if showing any increasing many or and that the copy, is the first or any any many many as a standard medical contraction of the con

IRG CIRCLEAR 230 DISCLOSURE. To copy a templicate which the entent in person of 150. Translate the industry of the policy of the property is a copy of the copy of the person of the per

From: Serafin, Janet M. [mailto:janet.serafin@klgates.com]

Sent: Monday, October 07, 2013 5:56 PM

To: Bradley Leger

Cc: Larry Davidson (idavidson@harveykruse.com)

Subject: RE: Smith v Norcold et al

Mr. Leger:

I am writing in response to your email below of October 2, 2013 seeking an answer on behalf of Norcold, Inc. and to your September 10, 2013 letter requesting deposition dates for Allan Fogt, J. David Roberts, George Strasburg, Richard O. Lewis, P.E., and Chris Bloom (collectively, the "Potential Deponents"). As explained below, under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Alcoa Inc. and Alcoa Energy Services, Inc. f/k/a Norcold, Inc. (collectively "Alcoa") are not required to make the Potential Deponents available for deposition, and Norcold, Inc. (the "new Norcold") is not a proper party to this litigation. Even if new Norcold were a proper party, employees of the new Norcold and information held by the new Norcold is not under Alcoa's control.

As a threshold matter, the Potential Deponents are not parties to this action and cannot be compelled to appear for deposition by notice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(1); In re Honda Am. Motor Co., Inc. Dealership Rel. Litig., 168 F.R.D. 535, 540 (D. Md. 1996) ("Only a party to the litigation may, of course, be compelled to give testimony pursuant to a notice of deposition.").

Further, none of the Potential Deponents are current employees of Alcoa (or under its control) and, therefore, Alcoa is not obligated to produce them for deposition. *Severstal N. Am., Inc. v. N. Am. Refractories Co.*, 06-10202, 2008 WL 5235973 (E.D. Mich. 2008) (finding that company is not required to produce former employees. Instead, they must be subpoenaed to be compelled to attend a deposition).

To be clear, Norcold was a wholly owned subsidiary of Alcoa whose assets were sold as of 1997 and, as a result, the new Norcold is no longer affiliated with Alcoa. As part of the sale, Alcoa retained certain liabilities, including defending the claims brought by the Plaintiffs in the above-referenced matter. Therefore, new Norcold is not a proper party to this action. Even if new Norcold were found to be a proper party in this matter, only three of the Potential Deponents are current employees of new Norcold (Alan Fogt, J. David Roberts, and George Strasburg). Richard O. Lewis, P.E. is a former consultant to Alcoa and was never an employee of Alcoa. As you know, a non-party corporate employee (or former consultant/non-employee) cannot be compelled to attend a deposition unless subpoenaed. See, e.g., E.E.O.C. v. Honda of Am. Mfg., Inc., 2:06-CV-0233, 2007 WL 682088 *2 (S.D. Ohio 2007); Margel v. E.G.L. Gem Lab Ltd., 04 CIV. 1514 PAC HBP, 2008 WL 2224288 *8 (S.D.N.Y. 2008) ("Employees other than officers, directors or managing agents can be deposed only by stipulation or through the use of the procedures applicable to the depositions of non-parties").

Additionally, Chris Bloom is a consulting expert retained on behalf of Alcoa in the above-referenced matter, and thus his deposition is barred by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(D)(ii). If Alcoa determines that Mr. Bloom or any other expert will be designated as a testifying expert, that expert will be made available for deposition in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4)(A) at the appropriate time.

For these and other reasons, we will not make the Potential Deponents available for deposition pursuant to your September 10, 2013 letter. Likewise, we do not believe that any additional Answer on behalf of New Norcold is appropriate or necessary, and in any event, we do not represent New Norcold on these matters.

Regards, Janet

From: Bradley Leger [mailto:bleger@legeradkins.com] Sent: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 11:38 AM

To: Bradley Leger; Idavidson@harveykruse.com; 'Carolyn Urbanik'

Cc: Serafin, Janet M.; 'Barry Goodman'; Larry Maitland; Pierce Adkins; Christina Phan

Subject: RE: Smith v Norcold et al

Importance: High

Larry/Janet --

We have not received an answer for Norcold. The answer we have on file states:

"<u>Defendants Alcoa Energy Services, Inc.</u>, formerly known as Norcold, Inc. and Alcoa, Inc. (hereinafter "Alcoa"), by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this answer to Plaintiffs' Original Complaint and Jury Demand, and Affirmative Defenses...

Please formally file an answer on behalf of Norcold to avoid the filing of a Motion for Default Judgment.

If I am missing something, please contact me immediately.

Also, what is the status of obtaining deposition dates for the Alcoa/Norcold folks? I requested dates 3 weeks ago.

Thanks,

LEGER ADKINS, LLP

afforneys and counselors at law

Bradley L. Leger

Attorney at Law

the believue tower 2323 s. shepherd dr., suite 915 houston, texas 77019

713.574.5558 - main 713.574.6671 - direct 713.574.1894 - fax 866.719.0427 - toll free

bio: www.linkedin.com/in/bradleyleger web: www.legeradkins.com

TEXAS SUPER LAWYER - 2011, 2012, 2013

South the permitted a

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE. The correlation and attended are excisional and intended only for two by an record and read to which cultimes and confidence of the process of the

IRS CIRCLE AR 230 DISCUSSIBLE To the satisfacts, and imperiment explaint to U.S. France Proporties to a form your memory and a major of the control of the property and a major of the control of the con

From: Bradley Leger

Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 11:58 AM **To:** <u>Idavidson@harveykruse.com</u>; 'Carolyn Urbanik'

Cc: 'janet.serafin@klgates.com'; 'Barry Goodman'; Larry Maitland; Pierce Adkins; Christina Phan; Bradley Leger

Subject: RE: Smith v Norcold et al - Plaintiffs' 1st RFP to Defendants

Importance: High

Please see attached.

Thanks,

LEGER ADKINS, LLP

afterneys and counselors of law

Bradley L. Leger

Attorney at Law

the believue tower 2323 s. shepherd dr., suite 915 houston, texas 77019

713.574.5558 - main 713.574.6671 - direct 713.574.1894 - fax 866.719.0427 - toll free

bio: www.linkedin.com/in/bradleyleger

web: www.legeradkins.com

TEXAS SUPER LAWYER - 2011, 2012, 2013

Strate Continue to the Except

COMPIDENTIALITY NOTICE. This processorization and analysments are confidenced and canada and canada by a some or a running of control to the processor of the p

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE. In case a complete continuous approach of this flowers packed on the complete expression and the continuous and complete expressions and the complete expressions and the continuous and the complete expressions and the continuous and the complete expressions and the continuous and the con

From: Bradley Leger

Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 2:29 PM

To: ldavidson@harveykruse.com; 'Carolyn Urbanik'

Cc: 'janet.serafin@klgates.com'; 'Barry Goodman'; Larry Maitland; Pierce Adkins; Christina Phan; Bradley Leger

Subject: RE: Smith v Norcold et al - depo request

Importance: High

Please see attached.

Thanks,

LEGER ADKINS, LLP

| attorneys and counselors at law

Bradley L. Leger

Altomey at Law

the believue tower 2323 s. shepherd dr., suite 915 houston, texas 77019

713.574.5558 - main 713.574.6671 - direct 713.574.1894 - fax 866.719.0427 - toll free

bio: www.linkedin.com/in/bradleyleger web: www.legeradkins.com

TEXAS SUPER LAWYER - 2011, 2012, 2013

Notes No. 14 (MY) and Contractions

4:13-cv-10841-TGB-LJM Doc # 48-14 Filed 04/01/14 Pg 8 of 8 Pg ID 1077

CONFIDENTIALLY NOTICE for the consider a displacements as the demonstrate execution of the last contract and contract and

BS CIRCULAR 230 DESCLOSURE - In case of complete with a neutral transport by CINC Tr

From: Bradley Leger

Sent: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 1:49 PM **To:** <u>Idavidson@harveykruse.com</u>; 'Carolyn Urbanik'

Cc: 'janet.serafin@klgates.com'; 'Barry Goodman'; Larry Maitland; Pierce Adkins; Bradley Leger; Christina Phan

Subject: Smith v Norcold et al - 30b6 depo request

Importance: High

Please see attached.

Thanks,

LEGER ADKINS, LLP

attorneys and counselors at law

Bradley L. Leger

Attorney at Law

the believue tower 2323 s. shepherd dr., suite 915 houston, texas 77019

713.574.5558 - main 713.574.6671 - direct 713.574.1894 - fax 866.719.0427 - toll free

bio: www.linkedin.com/in/bradleyleger web: www.legeradkins.com

TEXAS SUPER LAWYER - 2011, 2012, 2013

SHOW WARRANGERS West

COMPROENTIALITY NOTICE: This temporarization and alterdaments are consequently for the by entrances at adiay to which addressed and may come a temporarization of control and control and

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE. There were compliantly only improved by the content of the your that relies of any measurement of the content of the content of the provided process of the content of the c

This electronic message contains information from the law firm of K&L Gates LLP. The contents may be privileged and confidential and are intended for the use of the intended addressec(s) only. If you are not an intended addressee, note that any disclosure copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this message is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact me at inet.serafin@klgates.com.